





Blood 142 (2023) 5628-5630

The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

ONLINE PUBLICATION ONLY

508.BONE MARROW FAILURE: ACQUIRED

Patient Preferences and Treatment Satisfaction in Patients with Paroxysmal Nocturnal Hemoglobinuria (PNH) Treated with Crovalimab and Approved C5 Inhibitors in the Phase III Randomized COMMODORE 1 and **COMMODORE 2 Trials**

Austin Kulasekararaj, MD PhD MPH 1,2, Fernando Ataulfo Gonzalez Fernandez 3, Phillip Scheinberg, MD 4, Nicole Straetmans⁵, Yasutaka Ueda, MDPhD⁶, Brittany Gentile, PhD⁷, Jennifer Stefani⁸, Marianne Uguen⁹, Alexander Roeth, MD 10,11

- ¹ Department of Haematological Medicine, King's College Hospital, London, United Kingdom
- ² National Institute for Health and Care Research and Wellcome King's Research Facility, London, United Kingdom
- ³Hematology Service, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, ESP
- ⁴Hospital A Beneficência Portuguesa de São Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil
- ⁵University Hospital Saint-Luc, Dpt. Haematology, Brussels, Belgium
- ⁶Department of Hematology and Oncology, Graduate School of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Osaka University, Suita, Japan
- ⁷Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, San Francisco, CA
- ⁸ F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland
- ⁹ F. Hoffman-La Roche, Basel, Switzerland
- ¹⁰Department of Hematology and Stem Cell Transplantation, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany
- ¹¹Department of Hematology and Stem Cell Transplantation, West German Cancer Center, University Hospital Essen, University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany

Introduction

Existing C5 inhibitor (C5i) therapies for the management of PNH, eculizumab (ecu) and ravulizumab (ravu), are typically given by regular intravenous (IV) administration (every 2 weeks [q2w] and every 8 weeks [q8w], respectively) in a hospital. Crovalimab (crova) is a novel C5i monoclonal antibody engineered with SMART-Ig recycling technology and high solubility, allowing for rapid and sustained C5 inhibition with low-volume subcutaneous (SC) injection via self-administration every 4 weeks (q4w). COMMODORE 1 (NCT04432584) and COMMODORE 2 (NCT04434092) are global, randomized, open-label, multicenter Phase III trials that investigated the efficacy and safety of crova vs ecu in C5i-experienced and C5i-naive patients (pts) with PNH, respectively (Röth EHA 2023; #S181, Scheinberg EHA 2023; #S183). Here, we report pt preference and treatment satisfaction data from COMMODORE 1 and COMMODORE 2.

Methods

In COMMODORE 1, C5i-experienced pts receiving ecu at the study start were randomized 1:1 to receive crova or ecu during a 24-week primary treatment period. Pts with the C5 polymorphism, pts previously treated with ravu or high-dose ecu, and pts ≤18 years old receiving ecu were enrolled in a descriptive arm and received crova for at least 24 weeks. In COMMODORE 2, C5i-naive pts were randomized 2:1 to receive treatment with crova or ecu during a 24-week primary treatment period. Crova was given as a weight-based tiered regimen (Liu ASH 2022; #293) that included loading doses followed by SC injection q4w maintenance dosing. Ecu was given via IV infusion q2w (900 mg per label). After completing 24 weeks of treatment, COMMODORE 1 and 2 pts randomized to crova continued crova, and those randomized to ecu switched to crova if continuing in the extension period. Crova self-administration by pts was permitted from Week 9, after training and confirmation of proficiency by a healthcare provider.

Treatment preference was assessed in adult pts using the validated Patient Preference Questionnaire after 17 weeks of crova treatment in C5i-experienced pts in all COMMODORE 1 arms and in C5i-naive pts initially randomized to ecu who switched to crova after Week 25 in COMMODORE 2. In addition, adult pts in all study arms of both studies completed the Treatment Satisfaction and Medication Questionnaire-9 (TSQM-9) at Weeks 13, 25, and 49 (or Switch Week 25). Higher TSQM-9 scores indicate better-perceived efficacy/convenience/treatment satisfaction.

ONLINE PUBLICATION ONLY Session 508

Results

For the primary analysis, COMMODORE 1 randomized 45 C5i-experienced pts to crova and 44 to ecu, and enrolled 38 pts in the descriptive arm; COMMODORE 2 randomized 135 C5i-naive pts to crova and 69 to ecu. Most pts across COMMODORE 1 and COMMODORE 2 who switched from either ecu or ravu to crova preferred treatment with crova. In COMMODORE 1, 33 (85%) of the 39 pts assessed in the crova arm preferred it to ecu. Of pts randomized to ecu who switched to crova after 24 weeks, crova was preferred by 27 (96%) of the 28 pts assessed in COMMODORE 1 and 32 (84%) of the 38 pts assessed in COMMODORE 2. The top reasons selected for crova preference were that the treatment required fewer hospital visits, was easier to administer, took less time to administer, and provided a better quality of life. In the COMMODORE 1 descriptive arm, crova was preferred by 8 (57%) of the 14 ecu-experienced pts assessed (including pts with the C5 polymorphism and pts previously on high-dose ecu) and 9 (60%) of the 15 ravu-experienced pts assessed, with similar reasons provided for this preference. Further, TSQM-9 results showed that, across the randomized arms of both studies, pts had similar levels of global satisfaction with ecu and crova and perceived them as having similar efficacy, but crova was rated as more convenient (Table). In the COMMODORE 1 descriptive arm, mean scores for overall treatment satisfaction with crova ranged from 63.1 to 66.7 across the prior ravu, prior high-dose ecu, and C5 polymorphism cohorts. Conclusions

Overall, pts in the COMMODORE 1 and COMMODORE 2 trials preferred treatment with crova to other C5i therapies. Pt perceptions of convenience around treatment frequency and mode of administration largely drove preference. With SC injection q4w and the option for self-administration outside of a supervised healthcare setting, crova has the potential to offer a new treatment option for pts with PNH that is less burdensome than existing therapies for this chronic lifelong disease.

Disclosures Kulasekararaj: F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd: Consultancy, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Akari Therapeutics: Consultancy; Amgen: Honoraria, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Samsung: Consultancy; Celgene/BMS: Consultancy, Honoraria, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; BioCryst: Consultancy; Alexion, AstraZeneca Rare Disease: Consultancy, Honoraria, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees; Achillion: Consultancy; Novartis: Consultancy, Honoraria, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees. **Scheinberg:** Janssen: Consultancy, Other: Scientific presentations/speaker; BMS: Other: Speaker; BioCryst: Consultancy, Research Funding; AstraZeneca: Consultancy, Other: Scientific presentations/speaker, Research Funding; Amgen: Consultancy, Other: Scientific presentations/speaker; Novartis: Consultancy, Other: Scientific presentations, Research Funding, Speakers Bureau; Pfizer: Consultancy, Other: Speaker, Research Funding; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd,: Consultancy, Other: Scientific presentations, Research Funding; Viracta: Research Funding; Alexion: Consultancy, Other: Scientific presentations/speaker; Alnylam: Research Funding; AbbVie: Consultancy, Other: Speaker. **Ueda:** Chuqai: Consultancy, Honoraria, Research Funding; Sanofi: Consultancy, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees, Speakers Bureau; Janssen: Consultancy; Asahi Kase: Consultancy; Alexion: Consultancy, Honoraria, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees, Speakers Bureau; Novartis: Consultancy, Membership on an entity's Board of Directors or advisory committees, Speakers Bureau; SOBI: Consultancy, Honoraria. Gentile: Genentech Inc.: Current Employment. Stefani: F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel: Current Employment. Uquen: F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel: Current Employment. Roeth: Apellis Apellis Pharmaceuticals: Consultancy, Honoraria; Bioverativ: Consultancy, Honoraria; Roche: Consultancy, Honoraria, Research Funding; Novartis: Consultancy, Honoraria; Biocryst: Consultancy, Honoraria; Alexion, AstraZeneca Rare Disease: Consultancy, Honoraria; Sanofi: Consultancy, Honoraria.

ONLINE PUBLICATION ONLY Session 508

Table 1.

| | COMMODORE 1 ^a | | COMMODORE 2 ^b | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Absolute TSQM-9 scores, mean (95% CI)° | Crova (n=44) | Ecu (n=42) | Crova (n=134) | Ecu (n=69) |
| Week 25 | n=38 | n=31 | n=127 | n=65 |
| Perceived efficacy | 77.1 (70.7, 83.4) | 73.2 (66.8, 79.6) ^d | 77.7 (74.3, 81.1) | 77.1 (72.1, 82.1) |
| Convenience | 81.0 (76.2, 85.8) | 60.8 (52.3, 69.2) | 75.7 (72.7, 78.8) | 66.2 (61.2, 71.3) |
| Treatment satisfaction | 79.1 (72.9, 85.4) | 71.0 (63.4, 78.5) ^d | 78.5 (75.5, 81.4) | 77.8 (73.4, 82.2) |
| | Crova | Ecu switch to crova | Crova | Ecu switch to crova |
| Switch Week 25 ^e | - | n=26 | - | n=36 |
| Perceived efficacy of crova | NA | 76.3 (67.8, 84.8) | NA | 71.3 (64.2, 78.4) |
| Convenience of crova | NA | 78.9 (73.4, 84.3) | NA | 72.7 (67.2, 78.2) |
| Treatment satisfaction with crova | NA | 78.6 (71.8, 85.3) ^f | NA | 73.4 (66.6, 80.2) |

NA, not applicable; TSQM-9, Treatment Satisfaction and Medication Questionnaire-9. Clinical data cutoff: Nov 16, 2022. This questionnaire was only reported in pts ≥18 years old

Figure 1

https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-178610

^a C5 inhibitor–experienced pts. ^b C5 inhibitor–naive pts. ^c Higher scores indicate better perceived efficacy/convenience/treatment satisfaction. ^d n=30. ^e Only applicable to pts randomized to the ecu arm who switched to crova at the end of the 24-week primary treatment period and then completed 24 weeks of crova treatment. ^f n=25.